

The watch with jewelry <sup>1.1</sup> was stolen. Mike deem that was stolen by Todd without any definite evidence but Mike still want to expel Todd. Susan thinks that is not fair to Todd because there's no evidence. Todd make a lie on his application and sworn bonding form. On that day, only Todd touched the watch and he didn't pass the test which is testing if you steal the watch.

There is no exactly evidence to support that Todd is thief. Todd behaves affectedly on his file. Also, Mike arbitrarily believes that Todd is the thief without evidence.

If Susan can't make this theft case clearly, she shouldn't expel Todd because it's not ethical to him. Also, the company's image will be damaged <sup>1.2</sup> if Susan expel Todd without any thinking. So, this action may decrease customer source to lost profits. At the same time, Mike is too enthusiastic to expel Todd, their relationship may be unharmonious so if Todd is expelled, this situation is good to Mike. Moreover, Susan and Mike are all facing a serious problem: they didn't do the best on their job so they probably be punished.

First choice of this situation is that call the police to find the result of this case. Then, according to this result of police found, the company can take some steps to solve this problem. This action also can make a good impression to the other employees and job seekers. The second-choice base on Susan's own idea to judge this thing like finding out who stole it or fire Todd for lying and the third choice is that doing what Mike says. But these two actions have lots of disadvantages for everyone in the society. To the company, it not only may lose an excellent employee but also tarnish their good image.

The first one may bring some negative news to the social. For example, many employees don't want to be this company's staff but this action is very protective of morality. Even though the police may not find the thief, But the second action may make a misunderstanding about who is the thief. As long as Susan makes a wrong decision, the company will lose their reputation to damage their benefits because customers will think this company is unreliable. Moreover, the third choice can't be happened because it's not only unethical but also dishonest. In other word, it doesn't have benefit for everyone in the society.

So, I think I will ask the police for help and make the survey together. Then, I will expel the person who isn't honest. Also, it not can only make the damage least, but also is very ethic for everyone because of the fair of police.

## Index of comments

---

- 1.1      this doesn't give the reader a clear picture of the important case facts. Imagine they haven't read the case and they just read your important facts. Would they understand what the dilemma is?
- 1.2      how would the company image be damaged? who would know?